

Key Summary Points and Action Items from 7/28/05 MADRI
Interconnection Sub-Group Meeting
Prepared by Brad Johnson 7/29/05

Process for documenting areas where there is disagreement

There was a general discussion on this topic with the following conclusions:

- 1) Areas where an agreement is not reached will be identified in bold italic in the section where they occur.
- 2) Consensus language will be provided to summarize the consensus opinion of the group. Consensus is defined as a supra majority or a definitive majority and does not have to be unanimous.
- 3) Those organizations that are not in agreement with the consensus language are invited to provide comments that:
 - a) Summarize why they feel the consensus language is not appropriate including any supporting documentation or references.
 - b) Propose alternative language for states to consider and adopt.
- 4) The intent of the above is to provide utility commissioners and their staffs with a fair and accurate summary of the disagreement for their consideration in developing final interconnection rules.

MSEIA Redline

The major issues that were discussed are summarized below along with the proposed resolution of the issue:

- 1) Affected System
 - Affected System will be removed from the definitions and all references will be removed from the document.
- 2) Fees
 - Brad Johnson will rewrite the consensus position to include a tiered concept to distinguish between level 1 and level 2&3.
- 3) Isolation device
 - New "load break" language will be added to the consensus position.
- 4) Networks
 - Existing language for spot networks will be retained except that <50kW limitation will be added back in.
 - There was a proposal to develop language that would allow level 1 and possibly level 2 connections to area networks as a "rebutable presumption". The language that MSEIA presented will be included in the next redline as a "placeholder" until the EDC members of the sub-group can provide more information on appropriate time lines for studying area network interconnections. This placeholder language will be added to the level 3A write-up.

5) Short circuit interrupting capability

- Consensus was not reached on the appropriate level for short-circuit interrupting capability -- 87.5% vs. 90%.

Brad Johnson will circulate a new redline by Monday August 1 which addresses these comments and several other that were discussed.

Bruce Rimmel Redline

Comments to be included from this document will be redlined by Brad Johnson on the MSEIA document to be circulated on August 1.

Standard Agreement

Dave Bassett will draft new language to address the issues discussed on Reactive Power (Section 1.8).

Brad Johnson will provide a new redline with more comments on the Standard Agreement Document.

Other Appendixes

Brad Johnson will provide redline comments on the remaining appendixes by Monday August 1.